Case 5:06-cr-00055-EJD Document 10 Filed 01/24/06 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, | Case Number (12-05-70392 HRL |
|---|--|
| v | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
| Martin Partines Kods Detengant. | |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 | 142(f), a detention hearing was held on, 2006 |
| Detendant was present, represented by his attorney | The United States was represented by |
| Assistant U.S. Attorney S. Knight. | |
| PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE | |
| / / The defendant is charged with an offense describe | d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been |
| convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local | |
| offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed | I since the date of conviction or the release of the person from |
| imprisonment, whichever is later. | |
| This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condi | tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the |
| safety of any other person and the community. | |
| / / There is probable cause based upon (the indictmen | t) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the |
| defendant has committed an offense | |
| A for which a maximum term of imprisonme | ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et |
| seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR | En |
| B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm | during the commission of a felony. |
| This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condit | tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the |
| appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the con | Mmunity. JAN 24 2006 |
| No presumption applies. | Rissan 2 4 2006 |
| PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE | NORTHED U.S. DIVERSION |
| / / The defendant has not come forward with any evide | ence to rebut the applicable presumption is and he therefore |
| will be ordered detained. | JOSE CALIFORNIA |
| / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to r | ebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: |
| | |
| Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United State | |
| PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAP | |
| | f the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions |
| will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require | |
| | ng evidence that no condition or combination of conditions |
| will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the com- | |
| PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF RI | |
| | in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted |
| at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is Char | reed with a violation of 8, USC \$ 1326. He |
| is undocumented. He has four on | or felling consisting for druge, fellow |
| in possession of a fularm and level | I And laseious lets with a |
| mittor. The west has no information | on cincuring local his: His Crimina |
| history indicates his presence in CH, | DR and TX. |
| | |
| // Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived | written findings |
| PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION | written midnigs. |
| | General or his designated representative for confinement in a |
| ections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons as | |
| , I | 5 5 |

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge